IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO : 659 of 2001

Between:

P.Lingaiah, S/o. Pullaiah,
L.I.C.Branch-II, nalgonda.

..... PETITIONER

AND

Senior Divisional Manager, L.I.C. of India,
Divisional Office, Jeevan Prakash,
Secretariat Road, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ order or direction more in the nature of Mandamus declaring that the petitioner is entitled for selection and appointment to the post of Watchman under the control of respondent on the basis of sponsoring his name by the Employment Exchange, Nalgonda duly taking into consideration the age limit as on 1-10-2000 in terms of notification/requisition with all consequential benefits by holding the action of the respondents in not calling the petitioner for interview scheduled to be held on 22-1-2001 or any other date on the untenable ground is as illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory, subversive of Articles 14, 16 and 21 of the Constitution besides opposed to the very spirit of age relaxation as guaranteed under the constitution.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.A.RADHA KRISHNA

Counsel for the Respondent: MR.D.S.N.V.NAGARAJU

The Court made the following

O R D E R:

Learned counsel for petitioner called absent. Learned Standing Counsel for respondents submitted that in view of the interim orders granted by this Court on 19-1-2001, the cause in the writ petition does not survive and it has become infructuous.

Recording the same, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

_____

N.V.RAMANA,J

30-6-2005

TVK

To

1 The Senior Divisional Manager, L.I.C. of India,
Divisional Office, Jeevan Prakash,
Secretariat Road, Hyderabad.

2 2 CD copies

3 1 CC to MR.NAGARAJU

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{**KRD**}*